arXiv:math-ph/0411002v1 31 Oct 2004

Dispersion for Schrödinger Operators with One-gap Periodic Potentials on $\mathbb{R}^1$

Kaihua Cai [*]

February 7, 2008

Abstract

We prove $t^{-\frac{1}{4}}-$decay for the solutions of the 1-dim Schrodinger equation with a one-gap periodic potential as $t \to +\infty$. Generically, one has $t^{-\frac{1}{3}}$-decay and this decay is optimal. Our approach is to analyze the stationary phase in the Schrödinger evolution as an integral operator.

1 Introduction

The dispersive property of the Schrödinger equation with time-independent potentials

$$\frac{1}{i}\partial_t \psi = -\Delta\psi + V(x)\psi \tag{1.1}$$

has been extensively studied. It usually takes the following form:

$$\|e^{iHt}P_{a.c.}(H)\varphi\|_\infty < Ct^{-\frac{n}{2}}\|\varphi\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)} \tag{1.2}$$

where $H = -\Delta + V(x)$, n is the space dimension and $P_{a.c.}(H)$ is the projection onto the absolutely continuous spectral subspace. Most of the studies involve spatially decaying potentials, for example [R], [JK], [JSS], [Ya], [RS],[GS], [Sc] and [Go].

In this article, we explore the dispersive property under the assumption that $V(x)$ is periodic, i.e. $V(x) = V(x + 2\omega)$, and $n = 1$. In this case, the differential equation

$$-y''(x) + V(x)y(x) = Ey(x) \tag{1.3}$$

defined on the real line is known as Hill's equation. The spectrum of the Schrödinger operator $-(d^2/dx^2) + V(x)$ acting on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^1)$ is a union of intervals carrying purely absolutely continuous spectrum. The absence of point spectrum and singular spectrum suggests the dispersion of the solution. We will illustrate this dispersive phenomenon for a special analytic potential, whose spectrum is a union of two intervals (bands); namely, all gaps but one are degenerate. It is known

---

[*] The author feels deeply grateful to his advisor Wilhelm Schlag for his guidance. Also the author thanks Irina Nenciu for reading a preliminary version of this paper.

that one-gap potentials must be elliptic functions ([Ho]). With such a potential, we rewrite Eq.(1.3) as

$$y''(x) - 2\wp(x+\omega_3)y(x) = -Ey(x), \tag{1.4}$$

where $\wp(z)$ is the Weierstrass elliptic function with periods $2\omega_1, 2\omega_3$, satisfying the following differential equations:

$$\wp'(a)^2 = 4\wp^3(a) - g_2\wp(a) - g_3, \tag{1.5}$$

$$\wp''(a) = 6\wp^2(a) - \frac{g_2}{2}. \tag{1.6}$$

Hhere g_2, g_3 are the invariants of $\wp(z)$ defined by (6.1). Eq.(1.4), known as Lamé's equation, arises from the theory of the potential of an ellipsoid ([WW],[Er]). We assume $\omega_1 = \omega > 0,\ \omega_3 = i\omega'$ and $\omega' > 0$ to guarantee that $\wp(x+\omega_3)$ is real-valued for $x \in \mathbb{R}$. If we choose the potential in (1.4) to be $n(n+1)\wp$, instead of $2\wp$ (n any positive integer), then the spectrum of Lamé's equation consists of $n+1$ bands ([MW]).

Eigenfunctions of (1.4) are expressed in terms of the Weierstrass σ-function and ζ-function ([KM], [GSS]) as follows:

$$f_a(x) = \frac{\sigma(x+i\omega'+a)}{\sigma(x+i\omega')}e^{-\zeta(a)x-\zeta(i\omega')a}, \tag{1.7}$$

where the energy

$$E = -\wp(a).$$

(1.7) can be verified by noticing that ([WW])

$$f_a'(x) = (\zeta(x+\omega_3+a) - \zeta(x+\omega_3) - \zeta(a))f_a(x), \tag{1.8}$$

and

$$(\zeta(x+y) - \zeta(x) - \zeta(y))^2 = \wp(x+y) + \wp(x) + \wp(y).$$

Some basic properties of Weierstrass functions are listed in the appendix. f_a is periodic when a is one of the half periods $\omega_1, \omega_2 = \omega_1 + \omega_3$ or ω_3. f_{-a} and f_a are the two Floquet-type solutions of (1.4). We write

$$f_a(x) = m_a(x)e^{ik(a)x},$$

where

$$m_a(x) = \frac{\sigma(x+i\omega'+a)}{\sigma(x+i\omega')}e^{-a\zeta(i\omega')-a\frac{x}{\omega}\zeta(\omega)} \tag{1.9}$$

is periodic with period 2ω. Denote

$$\Sigma = [-\wp(\omega_1), -\wp(\omega_2)] \cup [-\wp(\omega_3), +\infty),$$

and the quasimomentum

$$k(a) = i\omega^{-1}(\omega\zeta(a) - a\zeta(\omega))$$

is real-valued for $E \in \Sigma$. f_a is bounded when $E \in \Sigma$ and is unbounded otherwise, which implies that Σ is the spectrum of (1.4) ([MW]).

Our goal is to give a dispersive estimate similar to (1.2) for the following

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{i}\partial_t \psi(x,t) &= -\frac{d^2}{dx^2}\psi(x,t) + 2\wp(x+\omega_3)\psi(x,t), \\ \psi(x,0) &= \psi_0(x). \end{aligned} \tag{1.10}$$

We assume that $\psi_0 \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$ and denote the solution at time t as $U(t)\psi_0$.

Theorem 1.1. *Generically, for almost all $\omega, \omega' \in \mathbb{R}$, there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that for $t > 1$*

$$\|U(t)\psi_0\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R})} < C\, t^{-\frac{1}{3}} \|\psi_0\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R})}. \tag{1.11}$$

Moreover, for all nonzero $\omega, \omega' \in \mathbb{R}$, there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that for $t > 1$

$$\|U(t)\psi_0\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R})} < C\, t^{-\frac{1}{4}} \|\psi_0\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R})}. \tag{1.12}$$

(1.11) *is optimal in the sense that for any nonzero $\omega, \omega' \in \mathbb{R}$, there exist constants $c > 0$ and $T > 0$, depending only on ω, ω' such that for $t > T$*

$$\sup_{\psi_0 : \|\psi_0\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R})} = 1} \|U(t)\psi_0\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R})} > c\, t^{-\frac{1}{3}}. \tag{1.13}$$

We require $t > 1$ to be large only to exclude $t \to 0$. The decay rates $t^{-\frac{1}{3}}$ and $t^{-\frac{1}{4}}$ are different from $t^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ in (1.2) because phase function is non-quadratic, which is a natural outcome of the periodic potential. The decay factor $t^{-\frac{1}{3}}$ as $t \to \infty$ has appeared in the analysis of the Modified KdV equation ([DZ]), where the nonlinear phase of the main term is cubic. In our case, the analytic phase function, roughly speaking, satisfies a cubic relation up to a change of variables. This cubic relation comes from the differential equations satisfied by the Weierstrass $\wp$ function . We denote $P(x)$ to be the real-coefficient cubic polynomial

$$2x^3 + \frac{6\zeta(\omega)}{\omega}x^2 + \frac{g_2}{2}x + g_3 - \frac{g_2\zeta(\omega)}{2\omega}. \tag{1.14}$$

We shall prove (1.11) under the assumption that

$$P(x) \text{ has no double root in } (-\infty, \wp(\omega_3)]. \tag{1.15}$$

If (1.15) does not hold, then we shall prove (1.12). In this case, by Lemma 2.3, $P(x)$ has no root of degree 3. Our proof implies that (1.12) is optimal in the sense stated in Theorem 1.1. However, we are unable to give an explicit example such that $P(x)$ does have a double root in $(-\infty, \wp(\omega_3)]$.

Finally, we prove that assumption (1.15) holds for almost all $\omega, \omega' \in \mathbb{R}$.

2 Preliminaries

It is known that $U(t)$ is an integral operator with kernel

$$K(t,x,x') = \int_\Sigma e^{itE} P_{a.c.}(E,x,x')dE.$$

Namely,

$$\psi(x,t) = \int_\Sigma \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{itE} P_{a.c.}(E,x,x')\psi_0(x')dx'dE.$$

The absolutely continuous spectral projection is

$$P_{a.c.}(E,x,x') = \frac{1}{2\pi i}[(H-(E+i0))^{-1}(x,x') - (H-(E-i0))^{-1}(x,x')],$$

and by definition

$$(H-(E\pm i0))^{-1} = \lim_{\epsilon\to 0^+}(H-(E\pm i\epsilon))^{-1},$$

which can be expressed by f_a and f_{-a}. Hence, we obtain for $x > x'$

$$\begin{aligned} K(t,x,x') &= \int_\Sigma e^{itE}(f_{-a}(x')f_a(x) + f_{-a}(x)f_a(x'))\frac{dE}{W(E)} \\ &= \int_\Sigma e^{itE}(e^{ik(a)(x-x')}m_{-a}(x')m_a(x) + e^{-ik(a)(x-x')}m_{-a}(x)m_a(x'))\frac{dE}{W(E)}, \end{aligned} \tag{2.1}$$

where $W(E) = W(a) = W(f_a, f_{-a}) = f_a f'_{-a} - f'_a f_{-a}$, called the Wronskian of f_a, f_{-a}, is independent of x.

Because the spectral projection $P_{a.c.}$ is self-adjoint, $P_{a.c.}(E,x,x') = \overline{P_{a.c.}(E,x',x)}$. Therefore, when $x < x'$

$$K(t,x,x') = \int_\Sigma e^{itE}(\overline{f_{-a}}(x')\overline{f_a}(x) + \overline{f_{-a}}(x)\overline{f_a}(x'))\frac{dE}{\overline{W}(E)}. \tag{2.2}$$

The proof of (1.11) and (1.12) shall be reduced to proving

$$\sup_{x,x'}|K(t,x,x')| < Ct^{-\frac{1}{3}} \text{ and } Ct^{-\frac{1}{3}}.$$

It follows from Eq. (1.8) that

$$W(f_a, f_{-a}) = f_a(x) f_{-a}(x)(\zeta(x + \omega_3 - a) + 2\zeta(a) - \zeta(x + \omega_3 + a)).$$

Since $W(f_a, f_{-a})$ is independent of x, we set $x = 0$ and obtain

$$W(f_a, f_{-a}) = f_a(0) f_{-a}(0)(\zeta(\omega_3 - a) + 2\zeta(a) - \zeta(\omega_3 + a)).$$

By the addition formula for Weierstrass functions ([Ak], §15)

$$\zeta(u + v) - \zeta(u - v) - 2\zeta(v) = -\frac{\wp'(v)}{\wp(u) - \wp(v)},$$

we have

$$W(E) = \frac{\sigma(i\omega' + a)\sigma(i\omega' - a)}{\sigma^2(i\omega')} \frac{\wp'(a)}{\wp(i\omega') - \wp(a)}. \tag{2.3}$$

Hence,

$$\frac{dE}{W(E)} = -\frac{\sigma^2(i\omega')(\wp(i\omega') - \wp(a))}{\sigma(i\omega' + a)\sigma(i\omega' - a)} da. \tag{2.4}$$

Remark 2.1. Because $\wp'(i\omega') = 0$ and zeroes of σ are the lattice points $\{n_1 2\omega_1 + n_2 2\omega_3 : n_1, n_2 \in Z\}$, all of which are of degree 1, it follows that $\frac{\wp(i\omega') - \wp(a)}{\sigma(i\omega' + a)\sigma(i\omega' - a)}$ is bounded and smooth when $a \to i\omega'$.

Also, it is clear that $\frac{\wp(i\omega') - \wp(a)}{\sigma(i\omega' + a)\sigma(i\omega' - a)} = O(a^{-2})$ when $a \to 0$, and that $\frac{\wp(i\omega') - \wp(a)}{\sigma(i\omega' + a)\sigma(i\omega' - a)}$ and its ∂_a-derivatives are bounded on $[\omega_1, \omega_2]$, where $[\omega_1, \omega_2]$ denotes the set

$$\{\lambda\omega_1 + (1 - \lambda)\omega_2 : \lambda \in [0, 1]\}.$$

By (1.9), m_a, m_{-a} and their ∂_a-derivatives are bounded uniformly for $a \in [\omega_1, \omega_2] \cup [0, \omega_3]$, $x \in \mathbb{R}$.

Since Σ is a union of two intervals, we shall decompose the integral of $K(t, x, x')$ into two parts. Namely,

$$K(t, x, x') = K_1(t, x, x') + K_2(t, x, x'),$$

where

$$K_1(t, x, x') = \int_{-\wp(\omega_1)}^{-\wp(\omega_2)} e^{itE} P_{a.c.}(E, x, x') dE,$$

$$K_2(t, x, x') = \int_{-\wp(\omega_3)}^{+\infty} e^{itE} P_{a.c.}(E, x, x') dE.$$

Before we proceed to analyze $K_1(t, x, x')$ and $K_2(t, x, x')$, we prove two technical lemmas.

Lemma 2.2. *Let $F(x)$ be a real-valued and smooth function on (a, b),*

1. *Suppose* $|F'(x)| \geq \epsilon$, $|F''(x)| \leq M$ *for all* $x \in (a,b)$, *then*

$$\Big|\int_a^b e^{-itF(x)}\psi(x)dx\Big| \leq c\,\epsilon^{-1}\,|t|^{-1}\Big[|\psi(b)| + \int_a^b (|\psi'(x)| + |\psi(x)|)dx\Big],$$

   where c *depends on* M.

2. *Suppose* $k \geq 2$, $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ *and* $|F^{(k)}(x)| \geq \epsilon$ *for all* $x \in (a,b)$, *then*

$$\Big|\int_a^b e^{-itF(x)}\psi(x)dx\Big| \leq c\,\epsilon^{-\frac{1}{k}}\,|t|^{-\frac{1}{k}}\Big[|\psi(b)| + \int_a^b |\psi'(x)|dx\Big],$$

   where c *depends on* k.

The first part of Lemma 2.2 follows from integration by parts. The second part is proved in [St] (p. 334).

Lemma 2.3. *Let* $e_j = \wp(\omega_j)$, $j = 1,2,3$. *Then* $P(x)$ *has a unique simple root in* $[e_2, e_1]$, *and* $P(e_j)$, $j = 1,2,3$, *are nonzero. Also* $P(x)$ *has no root of degree* 3 *in* $\mathbb{R}$. *Moreover,* $-\frac{\zeta(\omega)}{\omega} \in (e_3, e_2)$.

Proof. $P(x) = 0$ if and only if $4x^3 - g_2x - g_3 = (6x^2 - \frac{g_2}{2})(x + \frac{\zeta(\omega)}{\omega})$. Denote $p_1(x) = 4x^3 - g_2x - g_3$ and $p_2(x) = (6x^2 - \frac{g_2}{2})(x + \frac{\zeta(\omega)}{\omega})$. We shall examine the roots of $p_1(x)$ and $p_2(x)$ on the real line.

It follows from Eq.(6.2) that $p_1(x) = 4(x-e_1)(x-e_2)(x-e_3)$, where $e_j = \wp(\omega_j)$, $j = 1,2,3$. Because there is no quadratic term in $p_1(x)$, $e_1 + e_2 + e_3 = 0$. Since $e_3 < e_2 < e_1$, we have $e_3 < 0 < e_1$.

Observe that $\wp''(\omega_1) > 0$, $\wp''(\omega_2) < 0$ and $\wp''(\omega_3) > 0$, and by Eq (1.6), we obtain

$$\wp(\omega_2)^2 < \frac{g_2}{12} < \min\{\wp(\omega_1)^2, \wp(\omega_3)^2\}.$$

Now we shall prove $\frac{\zeta(\omega)}{\omega} \in (-e_2, -e_3)$. Indeed, let $y_1(x,E)$ and $y_2(x,E)$ be the solutions of (1.4) which satisfy

$$y_1(0,E) = y_2'(0,E) = 1, \qquad y_1'(0,E) = y_2(0,E) = 0.$$

And we introduce the discriminant $\Delta(E) = y_1(2\omega, E) + y_2'(2\omega, E)$.

Recall a and E are related by $E = -\wp(a)$, and as $E \to +\infty$ on the real line, $a \to 0$ on the positive imaginary axis. Therefore $i\zeta(a)$ and $k(a)$ go to $+\infty$ on the real line when $E \to +\infty$.

By Lemma 2.1 of [GT], $\Delta(E) = 2\cos k(a)$ and $k(E) = k(a(E))$ is the conformal map from the upper half plane to a slit quarter plane $\Omega = \{\Re z > 0, \Im z > 0\}\backslash T$, with the slit $T = \{\frac{\pi}{2\omega} + iy : 0 < y \leq h\}$, where h is some positive real number. Moreover, $k(-e_1) = 0$ and $k(-e_2) = k(-e_3) = \frac{\pi}{2\omega}$.

Denote Q_0 to be the pre-image of the tip $\frac{\pi}{2\omega} + ih$ of the slit T under the map $k(E)$. Then $-\wp(\omega_2) < Q_0 < -\wp(\omega_3)$, and $k(E)$ sends $[-\wp(\omega_2), Q_0]$ to $[\frac{\pi}{2\omega}, \frac{\pi}{2\omega} + ih]$, and $[Q_0, -\wp(\omega_3)]$ to $[\frac{\pi}{2\omega} + ih, \frac{\pi}{2\omega}]$ respectively. Thus when $E \in (-\wp(\omega_2), Q_0)$, $\frac{1}{i}\partial_E k(E) \geq 0$. We observe that

$$\partial_E k(E) = \frac{1}{-\wp'(a)}\partial_a k(a) = \frac{i}{\wp'(a)}\Big(\frac{\zeta(\omega)}{\omega} + \wp(a)\Big),$$

which implies that

$$\frac{\wp(a)+\zeta(\omega)/\omega}{\wp'(a)} \geq 0.$$

Since $\wp'(a) > 0$ when $a \in (\omega_3, \omega_2)$, we conclude that $E = -\wp(a) \leq \zeta(\omega)/\omega$ for any $E \in (-\wp(\omega_2), Q_0)$. Hence, $Q_0 \leq \zeta(\omega)/\omega$. On the other hand, $\frac{1}{i}\partial_E k(E) \leq 0$ when $E \in (Q_0, -\wp(\omega_3))$. Following the similar argument, $Q_0 \geq \zeta(\omega)/\omega$. Therefore $\zeta(\omega)/\omega = Q_0 \in (-\wp(\omega_2), -\wp(\omega_3))$.

In fact, $k(E)$ maps $E = \frac{\zeta(\omega)}{\omega}$ to the tip $\frac{\pi}{2\omega} + ih$ of the slit T and $\Delta(E)$ reaches its minimum at $E = \frac{\zeta(\omega)}{\omega}$.

The three roots of $p_2(x)$ are $\pm\sqrt{\frac{g_2}{12}}$ and $-\frac{\zeta(\omega)}{\omega}$. From the above analysis, we have that $\sqrt{\frac{g_2}{12}} \in (e_2, e_1)$ and $-\sqrt{\frac{g_2}{12}}, -\frac{\zeta(\omega)}{\omega} \in (e_3, e_2)$, which implies $p_2(e_1) > 0$ and $p_2(e_2) < 0$. Hence $P(x)$ has either one or three zeroes in (e_2, e_1) and clearly $P(e_j)$, $j = 1, 2, 3$, are nonzero.

To verify that $P(x)$ has no root of degree 3, we consider

$$P'(x) = 6x^2 + 12\frac{\zeta(\omega)}{\omega}x + \frac{g_2}{2}.$$

The minimum of $P'(x)$ is reached at $x = -\frac{\zeta(\omega)}{\omega} \in (e_3, e_2)$ and is equal to $\frac{g_2}{2} - 6(\frac{\zeta(\omega)}{\omega})^2$. Notice that $-\frac{\zeta(\omega)}{\omega} < e_2 < \sqrt{\frac{g_2}{12}}$ always holds.

If $-\frac{\zeta(\omega)}{\omega} > -\sqrt{\frac{g_2}{12}}$, then $P'(x) > 0$ holds for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$. $P(x)$ has no root of degree greater or equal to 2.

If $-\frac{\zeta(\omega)}{\omega} = -\sqrt{\frac{g_2}{12}}$, then $P'(x)$ has a double root $-\frac{\zeta(\omega)}{\omega} \in (e_3, e_2)$. Since $P(x)$ has a root in (e_2, e_1), we conclude that $P(x)$ has no root of degree 3.

If $-\frac{\zeta(\omega)}{\omega} < -\sqrt{\frac{g_2}{12}}$, then $P'(x)$ has no double root. Hence $P(x)$ has no root of degree 3 on the whole real line.

If $P(x)$ has three zeroes in (e_2, e_1), then $P'(x)$ has two roots in (e_2, e_1), which is impossible because $-\frac{\zeta(\omega)}{\omega} < e_2$. Therefore, $P(x)$ has unique simple root in (e_2, e_1). $\square$

3 Analysis of $K_1(t, x, x')$

We first consider $K_1(t, x, x')$. We proceed by making the following observation:

Lemma 3.1. *Let $b = 2\omega_2 - a$ for $a \in [\omega_1, \omega_2]$. Write $W(a) = W(f_a, f_{-a})$. Then for $x, x' \in \mathbb{R}$*

$$\frac{f_a(x')f_{-a}(x)}{W(a)} = -\frac{f_b(x)f_{-b}(x')}{W(b)}. \tag{3.1}$$

Proof. It is clear that $\wp(a) = \wp(b)$ and $\wp'(a) = -\wp'(b)$. We prove (3.1) by direct calculation. By definition,

$$f_a(x')f_{-a}(x) = \frac{\sigma(x' + \omega_3 + a)\sigma(x + \omega_3 - a)}{\sigma(x + \omega_3)\sigma(x' + \omega_3)}e^{\zeta(a)(x - x')}.$$

By Eq.(6.4) and (6.5), this equals

$$\frac{\sigma(x'+\omega_3-b)\sigma(x+\omega_3+b)}{\sigma(x+\omega_3)\sigma(x'+\omega_3)}e^{\zeta(b)(x'-x)}e^{4\eta_3(\omega_3-b)} = f_b(x)f_{-b}(x')e^{4\eta_3(\omega_3-b)}.$$

Also by Eq.(2.3) and (6.5),

$$W(a) = \frac{\sigma(i\omega'-b)\sigma(i\omega'+b)\exp\left(4\eta_3(\omega_3-b)\right)}{\sigma^2(i\omega')}\frac{-\wp'(b)}{\wp(\omega_3)-\wp(b)} = -W(b)e^{4\eta_3(\omega_3-b)}.$$

Combining them, (3.1) follows.

□

It follows from Lemma 3.1 that

$$\int_{\omega_1}^{\omega_2} e^{-it\wp(a)}\frac{f_a(x')f_{-a}(x)}{W(a)}d\wp(a) = \int_{\omega_2}^{\omega_2+i\omega'} e^{-it\wp(b)}\frac{f_b(x)f_{-b}(x')}{W(b)}d\wp(b).$$

Hence we have that for $x > x'$

$$\begin{aligned}
K_1(t,x,x') &= \int_{-\wp(\omega_1)}^{-\wp(\omega_2)} e^{itE}(f_{-a}(x')f_a(x)+f_{-a}(x)f_a(x'))\frac{dE}{W(E)}\\
&= \int_{\omega_1}^{\omega_1+i2\omega'} e^{-it\wp(a)}\frac{f_a(x)f_{-a}(x')}{W(a)}d(-\wp(a))\\
&= \int_{\omega_1}^{\omega_1+i2\omega'} e^{-it\wp(a)+i(x-x')k(a)}m_a(x)m_{-a}(x')\frac{-\wp'(a)da}{W(a)}. \qquad (3.2)
\end{aligned}$$

Note $k(a)$ is real-valued and by Eq.(2.2), we have that for $x < x'$

$$K_1(t,x,x') = \int_{\omega_1}^{\omega_1+i2\omega'} e^{-it\wp(a)+i(x-x')k(a)}\overline{m_a}(x')\overline{m_{-a}}(x)\frac{-\wp'(a)da}{\overline{W}(a)}.$$

To simplify notation, we set $\tau = \frac{x-x'}{t} \in \mathbb{R}$ and

$$F_\tau(a) = \wp(a) - i\tau(\zeta(a) - \frac{a}{\omega}\zeta(\omega)).$$

Moreover, we write

$$K_1(t,x,x') = \int_{\omega_1}^{\omega_1+i2\omega'} e^{-itF_\tau(a)}\varphi(a,x,x')da, \tag{3.3}$$

where $\varphi(a,x,x') = m_a(x)m_{-a}(x')\frac{-\wp'(a)}{W(a)}$ when $x > x'$, and $\varphi(a,x,x') = \overline{\varphi(a,x',x)}$ when $x < x'$. Without losing clarity, $\varphi(a,x,x')$ will be written simply as $\varphi(a)$.

By Remark 2.1, $\varphi(a,x,x')$ and its ∂_a-derivatives are bounded uniformly for $a \in [\omega_1,\omega_2]$ and $x, x' \in \mathbb{R}$. To apply Lemma 2.2 to (3.3), we analyze the ∂_a-derivatives of $F_\tau(a)$. Our plan is to decompose the integral in (3.3) into several regions and on each region, Lemma 2.2 for some exponent k will be applied. We observe

$$\partial_a F_\tau(a) = \wp'(a) + \tau i(\zeta(\omega)/\omega + \wp(a)), \tag{3.4}$$

$$\partial_a^2 F_\tau(a) = \wp''(a) + \tau i\wp'(a), \tag{3.5}$$

$$\partial_a^3 F_\tau(a) = \partial_a^3\wp(a) + \tau i\wp''(a). \tag{3.6}$$

Let

$$c_1 = \min\{\zeta(\omega)/\omega + \wp(a) : a \in [\omega_1, \omega_1 + 2i\omega']\}.$$

Then $c_1 = \zeta(\omega)/\omega + \wp(\omega_2)$ and by Lemma 2.3, $c_1 > 0$. Also we denote

$$M_1 = 1 + \max\{|\wp'(a)|, |\wp''(a)|, \zeta(\omega)/\omega + \wp(a) : a \in [\omega_1, \omega_1 + 2i\omega']\}. \tag{3.7}$$

When $|\tau| > \frac{2M_1}{c_1}$, we have for $a \in [\omega_1, \omega_1 + 2i\omega']$

$$|\partial_a F_\tau(a)| > |\tau|c_1 - M_1 > \frac{1}{2}|\tau|c_1,$$

and

$$|\partial_a^2 F_\tau(a)| < M_1(|\tau| + 1).$$

Integrating by parts and recalling $\varphi(a)$ and its derivatives are uniformly bounded, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |K_1(t,x,x')| &= \frac{1}{t}\Big|\int_{\omega_1}^{\omega_1+i2\omega'} \frac{\varphi(a)}{\partial_a F_\tau(a)} d\, e^{-itF_\tau(a)}\Big| \\ &\le \frac{4\omega'\|\varphi(a)\|_{L^\infty[\omega_1,\omega_2]}}{t\tau c_1} + \frac{1}{t}\Big|\int_{\omega_1}^{\omega_1+i2\omega'} e^{-itF_\tau(a)}\Big(\frac{\varphi'(a)}{F'_\tau(a)} - \frac{\varphi(a)F''_\tau(a)}{(F'_\tau(a))^2}\Big)da\Big| \\ &\le Ct^{-1}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.8}$$

We now estimate $K_1(t,x,x')$ when $|\tau| \le \frac{2M_1}{c_1}$. Suppose both (3.4) and (3.5) vanish for $a = a_0 \in [\omega_1, \omega_2]$ and $\tau = \tau_0 \in [-\frac{2M_1}{c_1}, \frac{2M_1}{c_1}]$. Then

$$\wp'(a_0)^2 = \wp''(a_0)(\frac{\zeta(\omega)}{\omega} + \wp(a_0)).$$

By Eq.(1.5) and (1.6), this is equivalent to

$$2\wp(a_0)^3 + \frac{6\zeta(\omega)}{\omega}\wp(a_0)^2 + \frac{g_2}{2}\wp(a_0) + g_3 - \frac{g_2\zeta(\omega)}{2\omega} = 0.$$

Thus $\wp(a_0)$ is the simple root of $P(x)$ in $[\wp(\omega_2), \wp(\omega_1)]$ and $\wp'(a_0) \neq 0$ by Lemma 2.3.

Observe that (3.4) and (3.5) also vanish when $(a,\tau) = (2\omega_2 - a_0, -\tau_0)$. The analysis of $(2\omega_2 - a_0, -\tau_0)$ is the same as that of (a_0, τ_0) and we will focus on (a_0, τ_0).

Also we observe that $\partial_a^3 F_\tau(a)$ vanishes at (a_0, τ_0) if and only if

$$\det \begin{pmatrix} \wp'(a_0) & \frac{\zeta(\omega)}{\omega} + \wp(a_0) \\ \partial_a^3 \wp(a_0) & \wp''(a_0) \end{pmatrix} = 0;$$

namely,

$$\partial_a \det \begin{pmatrix} \wp'(a) & \frac{\zeta(\omega)}{\omega} + \wp(a) \\ \wp''(a) & \wp'(a) \end{pmatrix}_{a=a_0} = 0.$$

Since $\wp'(a_0) \neq 0$, that $\partial_a^3 F_{\tau_0}(a_0) = 0$ is equivalent to the fact that $\wp(a_0)$ is a double root of $P(x)$. By Lemma 2.3, $P(x)$ has no double root in $[\wp(\omega_2), \wp(\omega_1)]$. Hence, $\partial_a^3 F_{\tau_0}(a_0) \neq 0$ and there exists $\epsilon > 0$ such that

$$\min\{\Sigma_{j=1}^3 |\partial_a^j F_\tau(a)| : a \in [\omega_1, \omega_1 + 2i\omega'], \tau \in [-2M_1/c_1, 2M_1/c_1]\} > \epsilon > 0.$$

Let $\chi_3(a,\tau)$ be a smooth function defined on $[\omega_1, \omega_1 + 2i\omega'] \times [-\frac{2M_1}{c_1}, \frac{2M_1}{c_1}]$ such that $0 \le \chi_3 \le 1$, $\chi_3(a,\tau) = 1$ when $|\partial_a F_\tau(a)| + |\partial_a^2 F_\tau(a)| \le \frac{1}{3}\epsilon$, and $\chi_3(a,\tau) = 0$ when $|\partial_a F_\tau(a)| + |\partial_a^2 F_\tau(a)| \ge \frac{2}{3}\epsilon$. Similarly, let $\chi_2(a,\tau)$ to be a smooth function defined on $[\omega_1, \omega_1 + 2i\omega'] \times [-\frac{2M_1}{c_1}, \frac{2M_1}{c_1}]$, such that $0 \le \chi_2 \le 1$, $\chi_2(a,\tau) = 1$ when $|\partial_a^2 F_\tau(a)| \ge \frac{1}{6}\epsilon$, and $\chi_2(a,\tau) = 0$ when $|\partial_a^2 F_\tau(a)| \le \frac{1}{9}\epsilon$.

On the support of χ_3, $|\partial_a^3 F_\tau(a)| \ge \frac{1}{3}\epsilon$. It follows from Lemma 2.2 that

$$\Big| \int_{\omega_1}^{\omega_1 + i2\omega'} e^{-itF_\tau(a)} \chi_3(a,\tau)\varphi(a)da \Big| \le C_3(\tau) t^{-\frac{1}{3}}. \tag{3.9}$$

On the support of $\chi_2(1-\chi_3)$, $|\partial_a^2 F_\tau(a)| \ge \frac{1}{9}\epsilon$. And similarly

$$\Big| \int_{\omega_1}^{\omega_1 + i2\omega'} e^{-itF_\tau(a)} \chi_2(a,\tau)(1-\chi_3(a,\tau))\varphi(a)da \Big| \le C_2(\tau) t^{-\frac{1}{2}}. \tag{3.10}$$

On the support of $(1-\chi_2)(1-\chi_3)$, $|\partial_a^2 F_\tau(a)| \le \frac{1}{6}\epsilon$ and $|\partial_a F_\tau(a)| \ge \frac{1}{6}\epsilon$. Lemma 2.2 yields

$$\Big| \int_{\omega_1}^{\omega_1 + i2\omega'} e^{-itF_\tau(a)} (1-\chi_2(a,\tau))(1-\chi_3(a,\tau))\varphi(a)da \Big| \le C_1(\tau) t^{-1}. \tag{3.11}$$

Note $C_j(\tau), j = 1,2,3$, are continuous functions of $\tau \in [-2M_1/c_1, 2M_1/c_1]$. Let

$$C = \Sigma_{j=1}^3 \max\{C_j(\tau) : \tau \in [-2M_1/c_1, 2M_1/c_1]\}.$$

Then $|K_1(t,x,x')| \le Ct^{-\frac{1}{3}}$ for large t, because

$$\chi_3 + \chi_2(1-\chi_3) + (1-\chi_2)(1-\chi_3) = 1.$$

Consequently, we have proved that for large t

$$\sup_{x,x'} \big| K_1(t,x,x') \big| < Ct^{-\frac{1}{3}}, \tag{3.12}$$

where C only depends on ω, ω'.

4 Analysis of $K_2(t,x,x')$

We now consider $K_2(t,x,x')$. Let $b = 2\omega_3 - a$ for $a \in (0,\omega_3)$, and the proof of Lemma 3.1 gives

$$\frac{f_a(x')f_{-a}(x)}{W(a)} = -\frac{f_b(x)f_{-b}(x')}{W(b)}.$$

Then for $x > x'$

$$\begin{aligned} K_2(t,x,x') &= \int_{-\wp(\omega_3)}^{+\infty} e^{itE}(f_{-a}(x')f_a(x) + f_{-a}(x)f_a(x'))\frac{dE}{W(E)} \\ &= \int_{-\wp(\omega_3)}^{+\infty} e^{-it\wp(a)}\frac{f_a(x)f_{-a}(x')}{W(a)}d(-\wp(a)) \\ &= \int_0^{i2\omega'} e^{-itF_\tau(a)}m_a(x)m_{-a}(x')\frac{-\wp'(a)}{W(a)}da, \end{aligned}$$

where $\tau = \frac{x-x'}{t}$. For $x < x'$, $K_2(t,x,x')$ can be written in a similar form. Therefore

$$K_2(t,x,x') = \int_0^{i2\omega'} e^{-itF_\tau(a)}\varphi(a,x,x')da,$$

where $\varphi(a,x,x')$ was defined in the previous section.

Step 1. The analysis of the nonlinear phase in $K_2(t,x,x')$ is similar to that of $K_1(t,x,x')$. However, by Remark 2.1, $\varphi(a,x,x')$ in $K_2(t,x,x')$ is unbounded when $a \to 0$ and $a \to 2i\omega'$, contrary to the case of $K_1(t,x,x')$. Our strategy then is to change variables to remove this singularity.

Define $\lambda^2 = \wp(\omega_3) - \wp(a)$ such that $\lambda > 0$ when $a \in (0,\omega_3)$ and $\lambda < 0$ when $a \in (\omega_3, 2\omega_3)$. Then the map $a \to \lambda$ is one-to-one, onto and analytic from $(0,2\omega_3)$ to $\mathbb{R}$. Note that $\lambda(2i\omega' - a) = -\lambda(a)$ and the behavior of $\lambda(a)$ as $a \to 2i\omega'$ is the same as that when $a \to 0$.

We claim that $\frac{\partial a}{\partial \lambda} = \frac{2\lambda}{-\wp'(a)}$ is never zero when $a \in (0,2\omega_3)$. In fact, the claim is obvious for $a \neq \omega_3$. When $a = \omega_3$, by L'Hopital's Rule,

$$\frac{\partial a}{\partial \lambda}(0) = \lim_{\lambda\to 0}\frac{2\lambda}{-\wp'(a)} = \lim_{\lambda\to 0}\frac{2}{-\wp''(a)\frac{\partial a}{\partial \lambda}},$$

which implies that

$$\Big|\frac{\partial a}{\partial \lambda}(0)\Big| = \sqrt{\frac{2}{\wp''(\omega_3)}} > 0.$$

Observe that when $\lambda \to \pm\infty$, $\lambda\varphi(\lambda) = \lambda^3 \cdot O(1)$ and $|-\wp'(a)| = |\lambda|^3 + O(\lambda^2)$. Hence, $\frac{\lambda\varphi(\lambda)}{-\wp'(a)}$ and its λ-derivatives are bounded uniformly for $x, x', \lambda \in \mathbb{R}$.

After changing the variables, we obtain

$$\int_0^{i2\omega'} e^{-itF_\tau(a)}\varphi(a)da = \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-itF_\tau(\lambda)}\varphi(\lambda)\frac{\partial a}{\partial \lambda}d\lambda, \tag{4.1}$$

where $F_\tau(\lambda) = F_\tau(a(\lambda))$ and $\varphi(\lambda) = \varphi(a(\lambda))$.

We will decompose (4.1) into different integral regions and estimate them separately. Define $\chi(\cdot)$ to be a smooth function supported in $(-2, 2)$ such that $\chi(x) = 1$ when $x \in [-1, 1]$, and let M be a large number to be specified.

Step 2. we claim

$$\Big|\int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-itF_\tau(\lambda)}\varphi(\lambda)\frac{2\lambda}{-\wp'(a)}\chi(\lambda/M)d\lambda\Big| < C_M\, t^{-\frac{1}{4}} \text{ or } C_M t^{-\frac{1}{3}}, \tag{4.2}$$

depending on whether $P(x)$ has a double root in $(-\infty, \wp(\omega_3)]$ or not.

The proof of (4.2) will follow the lines of the proof of (3.12). Recall that the map $\lambda \to a$ is one-to-one from $\mathbb{R}$ onto $(0, 2\omega_3)$, and satisfies $\lambda^2 = \wp(\omega_3) - \wp(a)$. Also, we observe

$$\partial_\lambda F_\tau(\lambda) = \frac{\partial a}{\partial \lambda}(\wp'(a) + \tau i(\zeta(\omega)/\omega + \wp(a))), \tag{4.3}$$

$$\partial_\lambda^2 F_\tau(\lambda) = \frac{\partial^2 a}{\partial \lambda^2}(\wp'(a) + \tau i(\zeta(\omega)/\omega + \wp(a))) + \Big(\frac{\partial a}{\partial \lambda}\Big)^2(\wp''(a) + \tau i\wp'(a)). \tag{4.4}$$

By Lemma 2.3,

$$\inf\{|\zeta(\omega)/\omega + \wp(a)| : a \in (0, \omega_3)\} = |\zeta(\omega)/\omega + \wp(\omega_3)| = c_2 > 0.$$

Denote

$$M_2 = \max\{|\wp'(a)| + |\wp''(a)| + |\zeta(\omega)/\omega + \wp(a)| : \lambda(a) \in [-2M, 2M]\}.$$

Since $\frac{\partial a}{\partial \lambda}$ is smooth and never zero, there exist c_3 and M_3 such that $0 < c_3 < |\frac{\partial a}{\partial \lambda}| < \sqrt{M_3}$ for all $\lambda \in [-2M, 2M]$. Moreover, suppose $|\frac{\partial^2 a}{\partial \lambda^2}| < M_3$ for $\lambda \in [-2M, 2M]$. Then for $\lambda \in [-2M, 2M]$ and $|\tau| \geq 2M_2/c_2$

$$|\partial_\lambda F_\tau(\lambda)| > \frac{1}{2}c_2 c_3|\tau|, \qquad |\partial_\lambda^2 F_\tau(\lambda)| < 2M_3M_2(1 + |\tau|).$$

Integrating by parts, an argument similar to (3.8) shows that for $|\tau| \geq 2M_2/c_2$

$$\Big|\int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-itF_\tau(\lambda)}\varphi(\lambda)\frac{2\lambda}{-\wp'(a)}\chi(\lambda/M)d\lambda\Big| < C_M t^{-1}.$$

To prove (4.2) for $|\tau| \leq 2M_2/c_2$, we first suppose that $P(x)$ has no double root in $(-\infty, \wp(\omega_3)]$. Since $\frac{\partial F_\tau(\lambda)}{\partial \lambda} = \frac{\partial a}{\partial \lambda}\frac{\partial F_\tau(a)}{\partial a}$ and $\frac{\partial a}{\partial \lambda} \neq 0$ for $a \in (0, 2\omega_3)$, it follows from (4.3) and (4.4) that $\frac{\partial F_\tau(\lambda)}{\partial \lambda}$ and $\frac{\partial^2 F_\tau(\lambda)}{\partial \lambda^2}$ vanish at (λ_0, τ_0) if and only if (3.4) and (3.5) vanish at (a_0, τ_0), where $\lambda_0^2 = \wp(\omega_3) - \wp(a_0)$. Therefore, the fact that $P(x)$ has no double root implies that there exists ϵ such that

$$\min\Big\{\sum_{j=1}^{3}|\partial_\lambda^j F_\tau(\lambda)| : |\lambda| \leq 2\lambda|\tau| < 2M_2/c_2\Big\} > \epsilon > 0.$$

Just as in the case of $K_1(t, x, x')$, we define $\chi_2(\lambda, \tau), \chi_3(\lambda, \tau)$ on $[-2M, 2M]\times[-2M_2/c_2, 2M_2/c_2]$. Namely, $\chi_2(\lambda, \tau) = 1$ when $|\partial_\lambda^2 F_\tau(\lambda)| > \frac{1}{6}\epsilon$, and $\chi_2(\lambda, \tau) = 0$ when $|\partial_\lambda^2 F_\tau(\lambda)| < \frac{1}{9}\epsilon$. $\chi_3(\lambda, \tau) = 1$ when $|\partial_\lambda F_\tau(\lambda)| + |\partial_\lambda^2 F_\tau(\lambda)| < \frac{1}{3}\epsilon$, and $\chi_3(\lambda, \tau) = 0$ when $|\partial_\lambda F_\tau(\lambda)| + |\partial_\lambda^2 F_\tau(\lambda)| > \frac{2}{3}\epsilon$.

Decompose the integral in (4.2) according to

$$1 = \chi_3 + \chi_2(1-\chi_3) + (1-\chi_2)(1-\chi_3).$$

The same arguments as that in (3.9), (3.10) and (3.11) yield for $|\tau| \le 2M_2/c_2$

$$\Big| \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-itF_\tau(\lambda)} \varphi(\lambda) \frac{2\lambda}{-\wp'(a)} \chi(\lambda/M) d\lambda \Big| < C_M t^{-\frac{1}{3}}.$$

In the case that $P(x)$ has a double root $\wp(a_0) \in (-\infty, \wp(\omega_3)]$, there is $\tau_0 \in \mathbb{R}$, such that $\partial_\lambda^j F_\tau(\lambda)$, $j = 1, 2, 3$ vanish at (λ_0, τ_0), where $\lambda_0^2 = \wp(\omega_3) - \wp(a_0)$. The fact that $P(x)$ has no root of degree 3 implies that $\partial_\lambda^4 F_{\tau_0}(\lambda_0) \ne 0$. Therefore, there exists ϵ such that

$$\min \Big\{ \sum_{j=1}^{4} |\partial_\lambda^j F_\tau(\lambda)| : \lambda \in [-2M, 2M], \tau \in [-2M_2/c_2, 2M_2/c_2] \Big\} > 2\epsilon > 0.$$

Define smooth function $\chi_4(\lambda, \tau) : [-2M, 2M] \times [-2M_2/c_2, 2M_2/c_2] \to [0, 1]$, such that $\chi_4 = 1$ when $\Sigma_{j=1}^3 |\partial_\lambda^j F_\tau(\lambda)| \le \epsilon$, and $\chi_4 = 0$ when $\Sigma_{j=1}^3 |\partial_\lambda^j F_\tau(\lambda)| \ge \frac{3}{2}\epsilon$. Hence on the support of χ_4, $|\partial_\lambda^4 F_\tau(\lambda)| \ge \frac{1}{2}\epsilon$. It follows from Lemma 2.2 that

$$\Big| \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-itF_\tau(\lambda)} \varphi(\lambda) \frac{2\lambda}{-\wp'(a)} \chi(\lambda/M) \chi_4(\lambda, \tau) d\lambda \Big| < C_4(\tau) t^{-\frac{1}{4}}.$$

We decompose the integral in (4.2) by using

$$\chi_4 + (1-\chi_4)\chi_3 + \chi_2(1-\chi_3)(1-\chi_4) + (1-\chi_2)(1-\chi_3)(1-\chi_4) = 1.$$

The analysis of the terms containing $(1-\chi_4)\chi_3$, $\chi_2(1-\chi_3)(1-\chi_4)$ and $(1-\chi_2)(1-\chi_3)(1-\chi_4)$ is similar to (3.9), (3.10) and (3.11) respectively. Therefore, under the assumption that $P(x)$ has a double root in $(-\infty, \wp(\omega_3)]$, we have proved

$$\Big| \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-itF_\tau(\lambda)} \varphi(\lambda) \frac{2\lambda}{-\wp'(a)} \chi(\lambda/M) d\lambda \Big| < C_M t^{-\frac{1}{4}}.$$

Step 3. It now remains to estimate

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-itF_\tau(\lambda)} \varphi(\lambda) \frac{2\lambda}{-\wp'(a)} (1 - \chi(\lambda/M)) d\lambda,$$

which by definition equals

$$\lim_{N \to +\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-itF_\tau(\lambda)} \varphi(\lambda) \frac{2\lambda}{-\wp'(a)} (\chi(\lambda/N) - \chi(\lambda/M)) d\lambda. \tag{4.5}$$

Since $\frac{2\lambda\varphi(\lambda)}{-\wp'(a)}$ For (4.5) are not integrable on the support of $1-\chi(\lambda/M)$, Lemma 2.2 cannot be applied to (4.5) directly. We shall explore the oscillation of the phase $e^{-itF_\tau(\lambda)}$ and perform integration by parts to bound (4.5), which requires us to exclude the zeroes of $\partial_\lambda F_\tau(\lambda)$.

By definition, $\wp(a) = a^{-2} + \frac{1}{20} g_2 a^2 + O(a^4)$, and $\wp(a) = \wp(i\omega') - \lambda^2$, hence

$$\lambda(a) = \frac{i}{a} + \alpha_1 a + O(a^3) \quad \text{as } a \to 0,\ a \in (0, \omega_3),$$

which is an meromorphic function of a. It follows that $\zeta(a) = -i\lambda + O(\lambda^{-1})$ as $a \to 0$, $a \in (0, \omega_3)$. Consequently

$$\begin{aligned}
&(4.6) \qquad & F_\tau(\lambda) &= -\lambda^2 - \tau\lambda + \wp(i\omega') + O(\frac{\tau}{\lambda}), \quad \lambda \to \pm\infty; \\
&(4.7) \qquad & \partial_\lambda F_\tau(\lambda) &= -2\lambda - \tau + O(\tau\lambda^{-2}), \quad \lambda \to \pm\infty; \\
&(4.8) \qquad & \partial_\lambda^2 F_\tau(\lambda) &= -2 + O(\tau\lambda^{-3}), \quad \lambda \to \pm\infty.
\end{aligned}$$

We require M large enough such that

$$M > 1 + \max\Big\{|\lambda_0| : \frac{\partial F_\tau(\lambda)}{\partial\lambda}, \frac{\partial^2 F_\tau(\lambda)}{\partial\lambda^2} \text{ both vanish at } (\tau_0, \lambda_0)\Big\}.$$

Therefore, if $|\lambda| > M$, $\frac{\partial F_\tau(\lambda)}{\partial\lambda}$ and $\frac{\partial^2 F_\tau(\lambda)}{\partial\lambda^2}$ cannot vanish at the same (τ, λ).

When $|\lambda| > M$ and $|\lambda + \frac{\tau}{2}| > 1$, we claim

$$(4.9) \qquad |\partial_\lambda F_\tau(\lambda)| > |\lambda + \frac{\tau}{2}| - \frac{1}{2}.$$

In fact, by (4.7)

$$|\partial_\lambda F_\tau(\lambda)| > 2|\lambda + \frac{\tau}{2}| - O(\tau\lambda^{-2}).$$

We choose M large enough such that $O(\tau\lambda^{-2}) < \frac{|\tau|}{100|\lambda|}$. If $|\lambda + \frac{\tau}{2}| > \frac{|\tau|}{100}$, (4.9) clearly holds. If $|\lambda + \frac{\tau}{2}| \le \frac{|\tau|}{100}$, then $\frac{|\tau|}{100|\lambda|} < \frac{1}{2}$ and (4.9) also follows.

When $(-\frac{\tau}{2} - 1, -\frac{\tau}{2} + 1)$ is not contained in $(-M, M)$, by (4.8), $|\partial_\lambda^2 F_\tau(\lambda)| > 1$ for $\lambda \in (-\frac{\tau}{2} - 2, -\frac{\tau}{2} + 2)$ as long as M is large enough. By Lemma 2.2, we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-itF_\tau(\lambda)} \varphi(\lambda) \frac{2\lambda}{-\wp'(a)} \chi(\lambda + \tau/2) d\lambda < Ct^{-\frac{1}{2}},$$

where $\chi(x) = 1$ when $|x| < 1$, and $\chi(x) = 0$ when $|x| > 2$.

To estimate (4.5), we first consider the case when $\frac{|\tau|}{10} > M$ and estimate

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-itF_\tau(\lambda)} \frac{2\lambda\varphi(\lambda)}{-\wp'(a)} (\chi(10\lambda/|\tau|) - \chi(\lambda/M)) d\lambda,$$

which equals

$$(4.10) \qquad \frac{1}{it} \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-itF_\tau(\lambda)} \frac{d}{d\lambda} \Big(\frac{2\lambda\varphi(\lambda)}{-\wp'(a)} \frac{\chi(10\lambda/|\tau|) - \chi(\lambda/M)}{\partial_\lambda F(\lambda)} \Big) d\lambda.$$

It follows from Eq.(4.7) that on the support of $\chi(10\lambda/|\tau|) - \chi(\lambda/M)$

$$|\partial_\lambda F_\tau(\lambda)| > |\tau| - 2|\lambda| - O(\tau\lambda^{-2}) > |\tau|/2,$$

and

$$|\partial_\lambda^2 F_\tau(\lambda)| < |\tau|/4,$$

as long as M is large enough. Hence

$$|\partial_\lambda(\partial_\lambda F_\tau(\lambda))^{-1}| < \frac{1}{|\tau|}. \tag{4.11}$$

Since $(\chi(10\lambda/|\tau|) - \chi(\lambda/M))$, $\frac{2\lambda\varphi(\lambda)}{-\wp'(a)}$ and their λ-derivatives are uniformly bounded, we have

$$\Big|\frac{d}{d\lambda}\Big(\frac{2\lambda\varphi(\lambda)}{-\wp'(a)}\frac{\chi(10\lambda/|\tau|) - \chi(\lambda/M)}{\partial_\lambda F(\lambda)}\Big)\Big| < \frac{C}{|\tau|},$$

from which it follows that $|(4.10)| < Ct^{-1}$.

To complete the estimate on (4.5) when $|\tau|/10 > M$, it remains to bound

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-itF_\tau(\lambda)}\varphi(\lambda)\frac{2\lambda}{-\wp'(a)}(\chi(\lambda/N) - \chi(10\lambda/|\tau|) - \chi(\lambda+\tau/2))d\lambda.$$

Integrating by parts, this equals

$$\frac{2}{it}\int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-itF_\tau(\lambda)}\frac{d}{d\lambda}\Big(\frac{\lambda\varphi(\lambda)}{-\wp'(a)}\frac{(\chi(\lambda/N) - \chi(10\lambda/\tau) - \chi(\lambda+\tau/2))}{\partial_\lambda F_\tau(\lambda)}\Big)d\lambda := J_1 + J_2,$$

where

$$J_1 = \frac{2}{it}\int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-itF_\tau(\lambda)}\frac{(\chi(\lambda/N) - \chi(10\lambda/|\tau|) - \chi(\lambda+\tau/2))}{\partial_\lambda F_\tau(\lambda)}\frac{d}{d\lambda}\frac{\lambda\varphi(\lambda)}{-\wp'(a)}d\lambda,$$

and

$$J_2 = \frac{2}{it}\int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-itF_\tau(\lambda)}\frac{\lambda\varphi(\lambda)}{-\wp'(a)}\frac{d}{d\lambda}\frac{(\chi(\lambda/N) - \chi(10\lambda/|\tau|) - \chi(\lambda+\tau/2))}{\partial_\lambda F_\tau(\lambda)}d\lambda.$$

In J_2,

$$\partial_\lambda(\chi(\lambda/N) - \chi(10\lambda/|\tau|) - \chi(\lambda+\tau/2)) = \frac{1}{N}\chi'(\lambda/N) - \frac{10}{|\tau|}\chi'(10\lambda/|\tau|) - \chi'(\lambda+\tau/2). \tag{4.12}$$

On the support of (4.12), we have $|\lambda+\tau/2| > 1$ and $|\lambda| > M$. Thus $|(\partial_\lambda F_\tau(\lambda))^{-1}| < C$ by (4.9). Consequently,

$$\Big|\frac{2}{it}\int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-itF_\tau(\lambda)}\frac{\lambda\varphi(\lambda)}{-\wp'(a)\partial_\lambda F_\tau(\lambda)}\Big(\frac{1}{N}\chi'(\lambda/N) - \frac{10}{|\tau|}\chi'(10\lambda/|\tau|) - \chi'(\lambda+\tau/2)\Big)d\lambda\Big| < Ct^{-1}.$$

On the support of $\chi(\lambda/N) - \chi(10\lambda/|\tau|) - \chi(\lambda+\tau/2)$ we have $|\lambda| > |\tau/10|$. It follows from (4.8) that $|\partial_\lambda^2 F_\tau(\lambda)| < 3$. Combining it with (4.9), we obtain

$$\partial_\lambda(\partial_\lambda F_\tau(\lambda))^{-1} < C(\lambda+\frac{\tau}{2})^{-2},$$

which is integrable. Therefore

$$\Big|\frac{2}{it}\int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-itF_\tau(\lambda)}\frac{\lambda\varphi(\lambda)}{-\wp'(a)}\Big(\partial_\lambda\frac{1}{\partial_\lambda F_\tau(\lambda)}\Big)(\chi(\lambda/N)-\chi(10\lambda/|\tau|)-\chi(\lambda+\tau/2))d\lambda\Big| < Ct^{-1}.$$

This completes the estimate on J_2.

As for J_1, integrating by parts again, we obtain

$$J_1 = -\frac{4}{t^2}\int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-itF_\tau(\lambda)}\frac{d}{d\lambda}\Big(\frac{(\chi(\lambda/N)-\chi(10\lambda/|\tau|)-\chi(\lambda+\tau/2))}{(\partial_\lambda F_\tau(\lambda))^2}\frac{d}{d\lambda}\frac{\lambda\varphi(\lambda)}{-\wp'(a)}\Big)d\lambda.$$

Applying the Leibnitz's rule, we are left with three terms. Two terms come from $\frac{d}{d\lambda}$ hitting $\chi(\lambda/N)-\chi(10\lambda/|\tau|)-\chi(\lambda+\tau/2)$ and $(\partial_\lambda F_\tau(\lambda))^{-2}$, and the analysis is analogous to that of J_2. When $\frac{d}{d\lambda}$ hits $\frac{d}{d\lambda}\frac{\lambda\varphi(\lambda)}{-\wp'(a)}$, we obtain the third term

$$-\frac{4}{t^2}\int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-itF_\tau(\lambda)}\frac{\chi(\lambda/N)-\chi(10\lambda/|\tau|)-\chi(\lambda+\tau/2)}{(\partial_\lambda F_\tau(\lambda))^2}\frac{d^2}{d\lambda^2}\frac{\lambda\varphi(\lambda)}{-\wp'(a)}d\lambda.$$

Because $|(\partial_\lambda F_\tau(\lambda))^2| > |\lambda+\tau/2|^2/4$ on the support of $\chi(\lambda/N)-\chi(10\lambda/|\tau|)-\chi(\lambda+\tau/2)$ and $\frac{d^2}{d\lambda^2}\frac{\lambda\varphi(\lambda)}{-\wp'(a)}$ is uniformly bounded, the above term is dominated by Ct^{-2}, where the constant C is independent of N.

This completes the estimate of (4.5) when $|\tau|/10 > M$. The analysis is similar and even simpler when $|\tau|/10 \le M$. Therefore, when $P(x)$ has no double root in $(-\infty,\wp(\omega_3)]$, we have

$$\sup_{x,x'}|K_2(t,x,x')| < Ct^{-\frac{1}{3}}.$$

The decay factor $t^{-\frac{1}{3}}$ is replaced by $t^{-\frac{1}{4}}$ when $P(x)$ has a double root in $(-\infty,\wp(\omega_3)]$. □

Combining the estimates on $K_1(t,x,x')$ and $K_2(t,x,x')$, we have proved (1.11) under the assumption (1.15). We have also proved (1.12) for all nonzero $\omega,\omega'\in\mathbb{R}$.

It remains to prove (1.15) holds for almost all $\omega,\omega'\in\mathbb{R}$.

Suppose $P(x)$ has a double root $x_0\in(-\infty,\wp(\omega_3)]$. Then x_0 is a root of $P'(x)$. Recall

$$P'(x) = 6x^2 + \frac{12\zeta(\omega)}{\omega}x + \frac{g_2}{2},$$

with its roots

$$r_+, r_- = -\frac{\zeta(\omega)}{\omega} \pm \sqrt{\Big(\frac{\zeta(\omega)}{\omega}\Big)^2 - \frac{g_2}{12}}\ .$$

That $P(x)$ has a double root in $(-\infty,\wp(\omega_3)]$ implies that $(\zeta(\omega)/\omega)^2 - g_2/12 > 0$ and $P(r_-) = 0$. By (6.1), g_2 and g_3 are real analytic for $\omega,\omega'\in\mathbb{R}^+$. By (6.3), $\zeta(\omega)$ is also real analytic for $\omega,\omega'\in\mathbb{R}^+$. Therefore, r_+, r_- are analytic when $\omega,\omega'\in\mathbb{R}^+$, with branches at $\Big(\frac{\zeta(\omega)}{\omega}\Big)^2 - \frac{g_2}{12} = 0$. To prove (1.15) is true for almost all $\omega,\omega'\in\mathbb{R}^+$, it suffices to show that $P(r_-)$ is nonzero at one point. This can be done by direct numerical calculation.

For example, take $\omega = 5.5$ and $\omega' = 2$. Then we have

$$g_2 = 0.507343, \qquad g_3 = -0.0695438,$$

$$r_+, r_- = 0.0628169 \pm 0.195787i,$$

$$P(r_+), P(r_-) = -0.0386656 \pm 0.0300201i.$$

This indicates that $P(r_-)$ is nonzero for almost all $\omega, \omega' \in \mathbb{R}$. Therefore, (1.15) holds for almost all $\omega, \omega' \in \mathbb{R}$.

5 Optimality of the decay factor

So far we have proved the first part of Theorem 1.1. To verify (1.13), we first reduce it to showing that there exist constants $c > 0$ and $T > 0$ such that for $t > T$

$$\|K(t, x, x')\|_{L^\infty} > ct^{-\frac{1}{3}}. \tag{5.1}$$

Accepting (5.1) temporarily, we obtain that for any given large t, there exist (x_0, x_0') such that $x_0 \neq x_0'$ and $|K(t, x_0, x_0')| > ct^{-\frac{1}{3}}$. Without loss of generality, suppose that

$$\Re(K(t, x_0, x_0')) > \frac{c}{2}t^{-\frac{1}{3}}.$$

As $K(t, x, x')$ is smooth away from $x = x'$, there exists $\delta > 0$ such that for any $(x, x') \in (x_0 - \delta, x_0 + \delta) \times (x_0' - \delta, x_0' + \delta)$

$$\Re(K(t, x, x')) > \frac{c}{4}t^{-\frac{1}{3}}.$$

Take the initial data $\psi_0(x') = \frac{1}{2\delta}\chi_{(x_0'-\delta, x_0'+\delta)}(x')$. Then $\|\psi_0\|_{L^1} = 1$ and for any $x \in (x_0 - \delta, x_0 + \delta)$

$$|\psi(t, x)| = \Big| \int K(t, x, x')\psi_0(x')dx' \Big| > \frac{c}{4}t^{-\frac{1}{3}}.$$

To prove (5.1), we need the following lemma (Prop.3 Chap.8 [St]):

Lemma 5.1. *Suppose $k \geq 2$, and*

$$\phi(x_0) = \phi'(x_0) = \cdots = \phi^{(k-1)}(x_0) = 0,$$

while $\phi^{(k)}(x_0) \neq 0$. If ψ is supported on a sufficiently small neighborhood of x_0 and $\psi(x_0) \neq 0$, then

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{i\lambda\phi(x)}\psi(x)dx = a_k\psi(x_0)(\phi^{(k)}(x_0))^{-\frac{1}{k}}\lambda^{-\frac{1}{k}} + O(\lambda^{-\frac{1}{k}-1}),$$

where $a_k \neq 0$ only depends on k. The implicit constant in $O(\lambda^{-\frac{1}{k}-1})$ depends on only finitely many derivatives of ϕ and ψ at x_0.

By Lemma 2.3, $P(a)$ has a unique simple root in $(\wp(\omega_2), \wp(\omega_1))$, thus we can choose $a_0 \in (\omega_1, \omega_2)$ and a corresponding τ_0 such that both $\partial_a F_\tau(a)$ and $\partial_a^2 F_\tau(a)$ vanish at (a_0, τ_0).

First, we denote $I = [0, i2\omega'] \cup [\omega_1, \omega_1 + i2\omega']$ and assume that for any $a \in I$, $a \neq a_0$, at least one of $\partial_a F_{\tau_0}(a)$ and $\partial_a^2 F_{\tau_0}(a)$ does not vanish. Then we take $\delta > 0$ small enough such that for $a \notin (a_0 - \delta, a_0 + \delta) \subset I$, $|\partial_a F_{\tau_0}(a)| + |\partial_a^2 F_{\tau_0}(a)|$ is greater than some positive constant.

Given any large t, take (x, x') such that $\frac{x-x'}{t} = \tau_0$ and

$$K(t,x,x') = \Big(\int_0^{i2\omega'} + \int_{\omega_1}^{\omega_1 + i2\omega'} \Big) e^{-itF_{\tau_0}(a)} m_a(x) m_{-a}(x') \frac{-\wp'(a)da}{W(a)}.$$

The $\int_0^{i2\omega'}$-term is bounded by $C\,t^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ using an argument analogous to that in Section 4, because $|\partial_a F_{\tau_0}(a)| + |\partial_a^2 F_{\tau_0}(a)|$ is uniformly greater than some positive constant for $a \in (0, i2\omega')$.

We decompose the $\int_{\omega_1}^{\omega_1+i2\omega'}$-term as follows

$$\int_{\omega_1}^{\omega_1+i2\omega'} e^{-itF_{\tau_0}(a)} m_a(x) m_{-a}(x') \frac{-\wp'(a)da}{W(a)} := J_3 + J_4,$$

where

$$J_3 = \int_{\omega_1}^{\omega_1+i2\omega'} e^{-itF_{\tau_0}(a)} \rho(a) m_a(x) m_{-a}(x') \frac{-\wp'(a)da}{W(a)},$$

and

$$J_4 = \int_{\omega_1}^{\omega_1+i2\omega'} e^{-itF_{\tau_0}(a)} \tilde{\rho}(a) m_a(x) m_{-a}(x') \frac{-\wp'(a)da}{W(a)}.$$

Here $\rho(a)$ is a smooth cut-off function supported on $(a_0 - \delta, a_0 + \delta)$ and $\tilde{\rho}(a) = 1 - \rho(a)$.

Under our assumption, $|J_4| < C\,t^{-\frac{1}{2}}$, following the same reasoning as that in Section 3.

Considering J_3, the phase function $F_{\tau_0}(a)$ satisfies $\partial_a F_{\tau_0}(a_0) = \partial_a^2 F_{\tau_0}(a_0) = 0$ and $\partial_a^3 F_{\tau_0}(a_0) \neq 0$. $m_a(x)$ and $m_{-a}(x')$ do not vanish when $a \in (\omega_1, \omega_2)$ by Eq.(1.9). $\frac{-\wp'(a)}{W(a)}$ is nonzero when $a \in (\omega_1, \omega_2)$. Therefore $m_{a_0}(x) m_{-a_0}(x') \frac{-\wp'(a_0)}{W(a_0)}$ is nonzero.

Since $\rho(a)$ is supported in a sufficiently small neighborhood of a_0, by Lemma 5.1, there exist $c_1 > 0$ and $T > 0$ such that for $t > T$

$$|J_3| > c_1 t^{-\frac{1}{3}},$$

where c_1 is independent of t.

Combining these estimates, we have $|K(t,x,x')| > c_1 t^{-\frac{1}{3}} - 2Ct^{-\frac{1}{2}} > c_1/2\ t^{-\frac{1}{3}}$ for any (x, x') satisfying $(x - x')/t = \tau_0$, which implies (5.1).

Second, suppose there are other a_1, $a_2 \in I$ such that a_1, a_2, a_0 are distinct and $\partial_a F_{\tau_0}(a)$, $\partial_a^2 F_{\tau_0}(a)$ both vanish at $a = a_1$, a_2. Then $P(x)$ vanishes at $\wp(a_j)$, $j = 0, 1, 2$.

Since $a_0 \in (\omega_1, \omega_2)$, we have that $-i\wp'(a_0) > 0$ and $\zeta(\omega)/\omega + \wp(a_0) > 0$ by Lemma 2.3. Thus $\tau_0 < 0$ by (3.4). Similar analysis shows that when $a \in (\omega_2, \omega_2 + i\omega') \cup (i\omega', 2i\omega')$, $\partial_a F_{\tau_0}(a) \neq 0$. Therefore, a_1, $a_2 \in (0, i\omega')$.

Thus $\wp(a_j)$, $j = 0, 1, 2$ are distinct and are the three roots of $P(x)$. This implies that there is no other $a \in I$ such that $\partial_a F_{\tau_0}(a) = \partial_a^2 F_{\tau_0}(a) = 0$.

We again set $\delta > 0$ small enough such that for $a \notin \bigcup_{j=0}^{3}(a_j-\delta, a_j+\delta) \subset I$, $|\partial_a F_{\tau_0}(a)|+|\partial_a^2 F_{\tau_0}(a)|$ is uniformly greater than some positive constant. Given any large t, take (x, x') such that $\frac{x-x'}{t} = \tau_0$. The earlier argument implies

$$K(t,x,x') = \sum_{j=0}^{2} \int_I e^{-itF_{\tau_0}(a)} \rho_j(a) m_a(x) m_{-a}(x') \frac{-\wp'(a)da}{W(a)} + O(t^{-\frac{1}{2}}),$$

where $\rho_j(a) = 1$ when $|a - a_j| < \delta$ and $\rho_j(a) = 0$ when $|a - a_j| > 2\delta$.
By Lemma 5.1,

$$K(t,x,x') = a_3 t^{-\frac{1}{3}} \sum_{j=0}^{2} (F_{\tau_0}^{(3)}(a_i))^{-\frac{1}{3}} m_{a_j}(x) m_{-a_j}(x') \frac{-\wp'(a_j)}{W(a_j)} + O(t^{-\frac{1}{2}}).$$

Recall that x and x' are related by $(x - x')/t = \tau_0$. $m_{a_j}(x)m_{-a_j}(x')$, $j = 0, 1, 2$, are linearly independent as functions of $x \in \mathbb{R}$ and their nontrivial linear combination is a nonzero function. Therefore, there exist x_0 and x_0', satisfying $(x_0 - x_0')/t = \tau_0$ and

$$K(t, x_0, x_0') = ct^{-\frac{1}{3}} + O(t^{-\frac{1}{2}}),$$

where c is nonzero. Thus there exists T such that for $t > T$

$$|K(t, x_0, x_0')| > \frac{c}{2} t^{-\frac{1}{3}}.$$

Finally, suppose that $a_1 = a_2$ in the second case, which is equivalent to that $\wp(a_1)$ is a double root of $P(x)$ in $(-\infty, \wp(\omega_3))$. Similarly, we have for $t > T$

$$\begin{aligned} K(t,x,x') &= \sum_{j=0}^{1} \int_I e^{-itF_{\tau_0}(a)} \rho_j(a) m_a(x) m_{-a}(x') \frac{-\wp'(a)da}{W(a)} + O(t^{-\frac{1}{2}}) \\ &= a_3 t^{-\frac{1}{3}} (F_{\tau_0}^{(3)}(a_0))^{-\frac{1}{3}} m_{a_0}(x) m_{-a_0}(x') \frac{-\wp'(a_0)}{W(a_0)} + \\ &\qquad a_4 t^{-\frac{1}{4}} (F_{\tau_1}^{(4)}(a_1))^{-\frac{1}{4}} m_{a_1}(x) m_{-a_1}(x') \frac{-\wp'(a_1)}{W(a_1)} + O(t^{-\frac{1}{2}}). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, there exists (x_0, x_0') such that $|K(t,x,x')| > ct^{-\frac{1}{4}} > ct^{-\frac{1}{3}}$. This completes the proof of (5.1).

Our proof also gives the optimality of (1.12) in the case that $P(x)$ has a double root in $(-\infty, \wp(\omega_3)]$.

By the proof of Lemma 2.3, we have the following corollary:

Corollary 5.2. *Suppose that* $(\zeta(\omega)/\omega)^2 \leq g_2/12$. *Then for* $t > 1$,

$$\|U(t)\psi_0\|_{L^\infty} < Ct^{-\frac{1}{3}} \|\psi_0\|_{L^1(R)}.$$

Set $\omega = 1$; it follows from Eq.(6.1) and (6.3) that $\frac{g_2}{12} - (\zeta(\omega)/\omega)^2$, as a function of $\omega' > 0$, is analytic and $\omega' = 0$ is its essential singular point. Numerical experiment indicates that $g_2/12 - (\zeta(\omega)/\omega)^2 \approx 0.966104$ when $\omega = 1$ and $\omega' > 5$. When $\omega = 1$ and $\omega' \to 0^+$, $g_2/12 - (\zeta(\omega)/\omega)^2$ assumes each real number infinitely many times.

6 Appendix

Here we list some elementary properties of Weierstrass functions ([WW], [Ch], [Ak], [GH]). A doubly-periodic function which is meromorphic is called an elliptic function. Suppose that $2\omega_1$ and $2\omega_3$ are two periods of an elliptic function $f(z)$ and $\Im(\omega_3/\omega_1) \neq 0$. Join in succession the points 0, $2\omega_1$, $2\omega_1 + 2\omega_3$, $2\omega_3$, 0 and we obtain a parallelogram. If there is no point ω inside or on the boundary of this parallelogram (the vertices excepted) such that $f(z+\omega) = f(z)$ for all values of z, this parallelogram is called a fundamental period-parallelogram for an elliptic function with periods $2\omega_1$ and $2\omega_3$. As a set, we assume this parallelogram only includes one of four vertices and two edges adjacent to it. In this way, the z-plane can be covered with the translations of this parallelogram without any overlap. It can be shown that for any $c \in \mathbb{C}$, the number of roots (counting multiplicity) of the equation

$$f(z) = c$$

which lie in the fundamental period-parallelogram does not depend on c. This number is called the order of the elliptic function $f(z)$ and it equals the number of poles of f inside a fundamental period-parallelogram.

Given $\omega_1, \omega_3 \in \mathbb{C}$ with $\Im(\omega_3/\omega_1) \neq 0$, the Weierstrass elliptic function is defined as

$$\wp(z) = \frac{1}{z^2} + \sum_{(m,n)\neq(0,0)} \left\{ (z - 2m\omega_1 - 2n\omega_3)^{-2} - (2m\omega_1 + 2n\omega_3)^{-2} \right\}.$$

The summation extends over all integer values of m and n, simultaneous zero values of m and n excepted. $\wp(z)$ is doubly-periodic, namely

$$\wp(z) = \wp(z + 2\omega_1) = \wp(z + 2\omega_3).$$

$\wp(z)$ is an elliptic function of order 2, with poles $\Omega_{m,n} = 2m\omega_1 + 2n\omega_3$. Each pole $\Omega_{m,n}$ is of degree 2. $\wp(z)$ is an even function, $\wp(z) = \wp(-z)$. The Laurent's expansion of $\wp(z)$ at $z = 0$ is written as

$$\wp(z) = z^{-2} + \frac{1}{20} g_2 z^2 + \frac{1}{28} g_3 z^4 + O(z^6),$$

where g_2, g_3 are the constants in Eq.(1.5) and (1.6). Explicitly, we have

$$g_2 = 60 \sum_{(m,\,n)\neq(0,0)} \Omega_{m,n}^{-4}, \qquad g_3 = 140 \sum_{(m,\,n)\neq(0,0)} \Omega_{m,n}^{-6}. \tag{6.1}$$

Here g_2 and g_3 are called the invariants of $\wp$ and they uniquely characterize $\wp$.

Since $\wp'$ is odd and elliptic of order 3, it has three zeroes in its fundamental period-parallelogram. It is clear that these zeroes are the half periods $\omega_1, \omega_2 = \omega_1 + \omega_3$ and ω_3. Denote $e_j = \wp(\omega_j), j = 1, 2, 3$. The fact that $\wp(z)$ is of order 2 implies that e_1, e_2, e_3 are distinct and that $\wp''$ does not vanish at ω_j, $j = 1, 2, 3$. Furthermore, Eq.(1.5) implies that e_1, e_2, e_3 are the roots of the cubic polynomial

$$4x^3 - g_2 x - g_3 = 0. \tag{6.2}$$

The function $\zeta(z)$ is defined by the equation

$$\frac{d}{dz}\zeta(z) = -\wp(z),$$

coupled with the condition $\lim_{z\to 0}(\zeta(z) - z^{-1}) = 0$. $\zeta(z)$ may also be represented as

$$\zeta(z) = \frac{1}{z} + \sum_{(m,\,n)\neq(0,0)} \Big\{\frac{1}{z - 2m\omega_1 - 2n\omega_3} + \frac{1}{2m\omega_1 + 2n\omega_3} + \frac{z}{(2m\omega_1 + 2n\omega_3)^2}\Big\}. \tag{6.3}$$

$\zeta(z)$ is an odd meromorphic function of z over the whole complex plane except at simple poles $\Omega_{m,n}$. The residue at each pole is 1.

Write $\zeta(\omega_1) = \eta_1$ and $\zeta(\omega_3) = \eta_3$; then

$$\eta_1\omega_3 - \eta_3\omega_1 = \frac{1}{2}\pi i.$$

$\zeta(z)$ is not doubly-periodic, however, it satisfies the following equations

$$\zeta(z + 2\omega_1) = \zeta(z) + 2\eta_1, \qquad \zeta(z + 2\omega_3) = \zeta(z) + 2\eta_3. \tag{6.4}$$

Next we define $\sigma(z)$ by the equation

$$\frac{d}{dz}\log\sigma(z) = \zeta(z),$$

coupled with the condition $\lim_{z\to 0}\sigma(z)/z = 1$. $\sigma(z)$ is an odd entire function with simple zeroes at $\Omega_{m,n}$. Just like $\zeta(z)$, $\sigma(z)$ satisfies

$$\sigma(z + 2\omega_1) = -\sigma(z)e^{2\eta_1(z+\omega_1)}, \qquad \sigma(z + 2\omega_3) = -\sigma(z)e^{2\eta_1(z+\omega_3)}. \tag{6.5}$$

If we assume that $\omega_1 = \omega,\ \omega_3 = i\omega'$ and $\omega, \omega' \in \mathbb{R}$, then by symmetry $\wp(z)$ is real valued when $\Re z \in \{0, \omega_1\}$ or $\Im z \in \{0, i\omega_3\}$. $\zeta(z)$ is real valued on the real line and is pure imaginary when $\Re z = 0$. Let D to be the rectangle with vertices $0, \omega, \omega + i\omega'$ and $i\omega'$. Then $\wp(z)$ sends D to the upper half plane conformally. As z moves clockwise on the boundary of D both starting and ending at 0, $\wp(z)$ varies from $-\infty$ to ∞. This implies that $\wp(i\omega') < \wp(\omega + i\omega') < \wp(\omega)$.

References

[Ak] Akhiezer, N. I., *Elements of the theory of elliptic functions.* Translations of Mathematical Monographs, 79. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, (1990).

[Ch] Chandrasekharan, K., *Elliptic Functions.* Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften, 281. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, (1985).

[DZ] Deift, P., Zhou, X., *A steepest descent method for oscillatory Riemann-Hilbert problems. Asymptotics for the MKdV equation.* Annals. of Math. **137** (1993), 295–368.

[Ea] M.S.P. Eastham *Spectral Theory of Periodic Differential Equations.* Scottish Academic Press, Edinburgh, (1973).

[Er] Erdelyi, A., et al. *Higher Transcendental Functioans,* Vol. **3** McGraw-Hill, New York, (1955).

[GT] Garnett, J., Trubowitz, E. *Gaps and bands of one dimensional periodic Schrödinger operators.* Comment. Math. Helvetici **59** (1984), 258-312.

[GH] Gesztesy, F., Holden, H. *Soliton equations and their algebro-geometric solutions* Vol. 1 (1+1)-Dimensional continuous models. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, New York, (2003).

[GSS] Gesztesy, F., Schweiger, W., Simon, B. *Commutation methods applied to the mKdV-equation.* Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **324**, No.2, (1991), 465-525.

[Go] Goldberg, M., *Dispersive bounds for the three-dimensional Schrodinger equation with almost critical potentials.* preprint (2004).

[GS] Goldberg, M., Schlag, W. *Dispersive estimate for the Schrödinger operators in dimensions one and three.* Comm. Math. Phys. **251**, No.1, (2004), 157-178 .

[Ho] Hochstadt, H. *On the Characterization of a Hill's Equation from its Spectrum.* Archive for Rational Mechanics and Analysis, **19** (1965), 353-362.

[JK] Jensen, A., Kato, T. *Spectral properties of Schrödinger operators and time-decay of the wave functions.* Duke Math. J. **46** (1979), 583-611.

[JSS] Journé, J.-L., Soffer, A., Sogge, C. D. *Decay estimates for Schrödinger operators.* Comm. Pure. Appl. Math. **44** No.**5**, (1991), 573–604.

[KM] Kuznetsuv, E. A., Mikhailov, A.V. *Stability of Stationary waves in nonlinear weakly dispersive media.* Sov. Phys.-Jetp, **40** No.5, (1974), 855-859.

[MW] Magnus, W., Winkler, S. *Hill's Equation.* Interscinece Publisher, New York-London (1966).

[R] Rauch, J. *Local decay of scattering solutions to Schrödinger's equation.* Comm. Math. Phys. **61** (1978), 149–168.

[RS] Rodnianski, I., Schlag, W. *Time decay of solutions of Schrödinger equations with rough and time dependent potentials.* preprint (2003).

[Sc] Schlag, W. *Dispersive estimates for Schrödinger operators in two dimensions.* preprint, (2004).

[St] Stein, E. *Harmonic Analysis: Real-Variable Methods, Orthogonality, and Oscillatory Integrals.* Princeton Univ. Press, (1993).

[WW] Whittaker, E. T., Watson, G. N. *A Course of Mordern Analysis.* University Press, Cambridge, (1952).

[Ya] Yajima, K. *The $W^{k,p}$-continuity of wave operators for Schrödinger operators.* J. Math. Soc. Japan **47** No. 3 (1995), 551-581.

Kaihua Cai: Division of Astronomy, Mathematics, and Physics, 253-37 Caltech,
Pasadena, C.A. 91125, U.S.A.
email: **kaihua@its.caltech.edu**